

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,222	06/30/2006	Bernd Holl	VO-747	7594
42419 7590 08/21/2007 PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON		EXAMINER		
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD			KRECK, JOHN J	
SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summer		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/564,222	HOLL ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		John Kreck	3673		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 16(a). In no event, however, may a rep Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH Cause the application to become ARA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ly 2007.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 21</u> is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-20 and 22-31</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
	on Papers	·			
	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed onis/are:_a) ☐ acce		the Eveniner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction				
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.		
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in App ty documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage		
Attachment	(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔀 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species shown in figures 1 and 2 (i.e. the tool changing device exterior to the roller) in the reply filed on 7/16/07 is acknowledged.

Claims 4 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/16/07.

The substitute specification is entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The following claim features are missing from the drawings:

"the displacement device" (claim 1);

the "actuating unit" (claim 1, 6, 14, 15, 23, 27, 28);

the "tool changing device imparting...dynamic pulse to...a group of chisel holders" (claim 2);

"tool changer...assigned to... groups of the chisel holders" (claim 6, 23);

"tool changer...simultaneously assigned to all of the chisels" (claim 7, 24);

"a tool changer ... is respectively assigned to each of the chisel holders" (claim 8, 25);

Application/Control Number: 10/564,222

Art Unit: 3673

14d11be1. 10/304,22

tool changer "fixedly connected with the chisel holder" (claim 9);

"position measuring system" (claim 15, 28);

"conveying device" and "container" (claim 16, 29);

"separating device" (claim 17, 30);

"detection device" (claim 18, 19);

"signal reception unit" (claim 19); and,

"auxiliary motor" and "drive train" (claim 13, 26)

Each of these features must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-20, and 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The claims are difficult to understand, but insofar as they are definite, they are rejected below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 5, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leonard (U.S. Patent number 4,329,766).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,222

Art Unit: 3673

Leonard describes the construction machine having a milling roller (D) with a plurality of arranged chisel holders (S); a chisel (C) in the holder; and a tool changing device (35, for removing; and T for inserting); and also teaches the tool changing device imparting a dynamic pulse (4: 30-40) as claimed.

Leonard also teaches a mechanical device as required by claim 3.

RE claim 10: the hammer shown in figure 5 is a vibration device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 20, 22, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonard (U.S. Patent number 4,329,766) in view of Busley (U.S. Patent Application Publication number 2004/0021364).

Leonard describes the construction machine having a milling roller (D) with a plurality of arranged chisel holders (S); a chisel (C) in the holder; and a tool changing device (35, for removing; and T for inserting) as claimed. Leonard lacks the at least one of the displacement device and actuating unit.

Busley (e.g. paragraphs 5-7) describes the advantages of a displacement device in a similar machine. Based on Busley's teaching, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Leonard device to have the displacement device.

Leonard also teaches a mechanical device as required by claim 11.

RE claim 25: Leonard teaches a single tool changer assigned to a holder, not one assigned to each. See in re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960) the court held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced.

RE claim 26: see paragraphs 5-10 of Busley.

- 6. Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonard (U.S. Patent number 4,329,766) Leonard teaches a single tool changer assigned to a holder, not one assigned to each. See in re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960) the court held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced.
- 7. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonard (U.S. Patent number 4,329,766) in view of EP1013829.

Leonard lacks the stop with contact face, and pulse generator.

EP'829 describes a similar device with stop (30) and contact face, and pulse generator (28, etc..) as being advantageous for increasing the breaking force. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Leonard tool to have stop, contact face, and pulse generator as claimed in claim 11.

EP'829 also describes the mallet as called for in claim 12.

8. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonard (U.S. Patent number 4,329,766 and EP1013829 and further in view of Busley (U.S. Patent Application Publication number 2004/0021364).

Leonard lacks the at least one of the displacement device and actuating unit.

Busley (e.g. paragraphs 5-7) describes the advantages of a displacement device in a similar machine. Based on Busley's teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Leonard apparatus to have the displacement device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kreck whose telephone number is 571-272-7042. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,222

Art Unit: 3673

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1009.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

Page 8

7 August 2007